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Bottom-up and/or Top-down

• **Bottom-up** approach to sustainable operation:
  – First develop a case; assess if the change is more sustainable; if yes then develop a replication and a supportive strategy for long term development
  – Learning objective: Understanding of sustainable operations out of case studies, tests, innovations
  – Very little general rule how to develop a sustainable operation in freight & logistics, most cases are specific
  – Tentative coordinated bottom-up?

• **Top down** strategy: increase taxes first and see later how the sector is developing
  – Thesis after French eco-tax cancellation: did all top-down strategies have failed so far?
  – Coordinating top-down *and* bottom-up approach?
Project examples in Europe

- CITY- MOVE (2009-2012)
- FREILLOT (2009-2012)
Project examples in Europe (2)

- SMARTFREIGHT http://www.smartfreight.info
UK examples

- Transport for London freight plan
  http://www.tfl.gov.uk/microsites/freight/
- London Lorry Control Scheme
  http://www.londonlorrycontrol.com/
- London FQP http://www.londonsfqps.co.uk/
- Green Logistics, urban freight module
  http://www.greenlogistics.org/
- Freight Best Practice
  http://www.freightbestpractice.org.uk/

Involving Municipality of London, TfL, London Borroughs authorities, National Department of Transport, Companies, Research Council, Universities
Initiatives: criteria for ‘best practice’

- Recognition in the expert community: high
- Replication in different cities: more than 2 cities
- Applicability and feasibility: easy to difficult
- High impact on km driven: total trucks-km, vans-km
- Lowering emissions: total CO₂, CO₂ intensity per tkm
- Relatively low costs per km avoided
- Lowering noise: dB(A) reduction
- Existing quantified evaluation: yes-no
- Before-after data: yes-no
- Transferability to other cities: easy to implement?
Use of rail or waterways (or pipelines) in inner-city
IT support for urban routing & scheduling
Standards, Recognition schemes
IT support for urban sites
Infrastructure & street design for efficient deliveries
Knowledge, data collection, trial evaluation
Regulation, access restrictions, unloading rules, night deliveries, land use planning, etc
Cooperation, ppp, network, FQP, consultation
More efficient logistics/ supply chain organisation, DSP
Consolidation, UCC, citylogistics scheme
Clean Vehicles, cycles, small vehicles

% of 18 CL1 Best Practice Inventories
% of 66 Good Practices listed
Interporto Padova case study

- Cityporto transit point is located inside the freight village area of Interporto Padova
- 2 miles outside the City Centre, close to the major highways
- Urban delivery of goods with a fleet of hybrid and CNG vehicles

Savings in:
- Mileage: 1200 km/day
- Fuel: >30,000 litres/year
- Emissions of CO2 and pollutants
Costs, data, impacts

- **Costs**: started 2004, self sustained in 2007, Benefits to Cost Ratio for the period 2008-2013: 2.94
- **Data**: True before-after data of a client joining the scheme are missing. High load factor and CNG vehicle use are key cost positions for profitability analysis.
- **Impacts**: 0.5 million km saving per year, 220 tonnes of CO$_2$
Barriers, success factors and transferability

- Market barriers removed: key success factor was to allow a special regime for Cityporto CNG vehicles with no time windows for loading/unloading in the ZTL (Limited Traffic Zone). Also key was the independent manager enabling trustful cooperation with new customers, and excellent stakeholder involvement/participation at city level.

- Transferability: Aosta and Modena have started a similar Cityporto scheme, other cities are preparing new initiatives.
Case of Binnenstadservice: Before-After comparison of UDC

Situation without Binnenstadservice

Collective receiving point for shopkeeper: Binnenstadservice
San Sebastian Donostia UCC + Clean vehicle trial  
Costs data 2010 to mid-2012

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Concept</th>
<th>2010</th>
<th>2011</th>
<th>2012</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Expenses</td>
<td>-69,920.05</td>
<td>-164,553.08</td>
<td>-55,851.06</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Suppliers</td>
<td>-33,759.83</td>
<td>-53,486.40</td>
<td>-15,719.71</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Staff</td>
<td>-36,160.22</td>
<td>-111,066.68</td>
<td>-40,131.35</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Incomes</strong></td>
<td>67,294.85</td>
<td>108,643.88</td>
<td>34,581.22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Invoices</td>
<td>23,294.85</td>
<td>71,781.38</td>
<td>34,581.22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Subsidy CIVITAS</td>
<td>40,000.00</td>
<td>30,000.00</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Subsidy EVE</td>
<td>4,000.00</td>
<td>5,690.00</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Subsidy Webpage</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1,172.50</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Partial result</strong></td>
<td>-2,625.20</td>
<td>-55,909.20</td>
<td>-21,269.84</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other incomes</td>
<td>41,432.70</td>
<td>121,463.59</td>
<td>7,655.84</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Result</strong></td>
<td>38,807.50</td>
<td>65,554.39</td>
<td>-13,614.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>TOTAL</strong>*</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>90,747.89</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Innovative traffic/ street space management

before

now
Multi use lanes in Barcelona

6 boulevards today are “multi uso” with side lanes restricted to:

- 8:00 to 10:00 general traffic
- 10:00 to 17:00 pick up and deliveries only
- 17:00 to 21:00 general traffic
- 21:00 to 8:00 on street residential parking

Variable message signs inform drivers of the regulation in real time.
Transfer: Multiuse Lanes in Bilbao

- The idea resides in taking a lane to function more 'natural', meeting the needs of traffic and based on time slot:
  - Free parking: from 9:00 pm to 8:00 am
  - Booking for loading and unloading (heavy vehicles only): from 08:00 am to 12:00 noon
  - Normal circulation: from 12:00 to 9:00 pm
  - Transfer from Barcelona (why only here?)
Environmental zones, access regulations, noise and night deliveries
Night deliveries

- Night deliveries promoted in the Netherlands (PIEK program), in UK, Dublin, Barcelona, Paris
- Silent equipment (vehicle, handling equipment...) developed, working <60dB

Providing dedicated logistics space

- A ‘specialty’ of French cities
- Cities (Paris, Toulouse...) provide spaces in strategic places (such as underground municipal car parks) to logistic service providers who respect a set of specifications (environmental criteria)
Zero Emission Boat in Utrecht

- Delivery of 4 breweries and 1 catering industry to 65 clients along the canals of Utrecht is performed via an electric zero emission boat
- Cost efficient, time-efficient (not dependent on time windows)
- Reducing almost 17 tonnes of CO$_2$/year
- Preservation of the bridges and roads of Utrecht
- Publicly owned (small private costs)
Mokum Mariteam in Amsterdam

- Full-electric barge with own crane, operating in Amsterdam
- 20 m length, 4.25 m width, 85 m³ load capacity

- Barge is used together with trucks and vans
- Replication from Utrecht Best Practice of electric Beer Boat
Chapelle International, urban rail hub under construction in Paris

- Rail freight terminal
- Electric vans
- Access for large trucks
- 90 million EUR construction investment
London’s ‘tradition’ for negotiating with transport organisations (Freight Transport Association)

London’s Freight Operator Recognition Scheme
  – Training of operators in fuel management, penalties, safety
  – Offering a market access to bronze, silver and gold certified companies
  – >7400 FORS member businesses in UK

Consultation, PPP, charters between local authorities and freight transport operators
WHO’S ON BOARD?

FORS accredited companies

Many companies are already taking advantage of the benefits offered by the FORS accreditation scheme.

Van Smart is a Transport for London (TfL) backed driver training programme that aims to reduce work related road risks,

FORS associates

LATEST NEWS

VAN SMART DRIVER TRAINING

Posted on October 15, 2015 by admin
Replicable dimension of the success stories

• If for example a company that initiates a new solution invests a lot of effort and finance into a new project, and claims that it has become self-sustaining after a short period of time, this seems to suggest that the solution could be easily replicable.

• To check: Transferability
  – what is the size of the business?
  – Is it a typical case for a general cargo operator, or is it a very specialised market?
Concluding remarks
Solutions for sustainable urban logistics

• Innovations: Many solutions, slight dominance of consolidation and clean vehicle projects

• Transferability: Very few large scale transfer, mostly limited to another company, upscale within a company or transfer to another city

• Impacts and Benefits: Very high benefits but difficulty with quantification of robust impacts estimates

• Data availability: Biggest difficulty is with data on the ‘Before’ situation, in order to obtain the business case information out of the trials and tests

• Rare assessment of transfer or upscaling of solution: Prototype→Trial→Industry Scale